

Numeric Responses

Ashland University College of Education EDIS Inclusive Services Term: Spring 2020

EDIS 651 OL Evaluation Delivery: Online CURRICULUM DEVELOPMENT FOR EDUCATION OF THE TALENTED Evaluation Form: 14

Course type: Online
Taught by: Jennifer Groman

Instructor Evaluated: Jennifer Groman-Assist Prof

items and is presented to provide an overall index of the class's quality:

Overall Summative Rating represents the combined responses of students to the four global summative

Combined Adjusted Combined Median Median 5.0 4.4

Responses: 1/7 (14% low)

Challenge and Engagement Index (CEI) combines student responses to several *IASystem* items relating to how academically challenging students found the course to be and how engaged they were:

CEI: 7.0

(0=lowest; 5=highest)

(1=lowest; 7=highest)

	N	Excellent (5)	Very Good (4)	Good (3)	Fair (2)	Poor (1)	Very Poor (0)	Median	Adjusted Median
The distance learning course as a whole was:	1	100%						5.0	4.4
The course content was:	1	100%						5.0	4.5
The instructor's contribution to the course was:	1	100%						5.0	4.5
The effectiveness of the distance learning format was:	1	100%						5.0	4.3

STUDENT	ENGAGE	MENT															
Relative to	other co	llege o	courses yo	u have take	en:			N	Much Higher (7)	(6)	(5)	Average (4)	(3)	(2)	Much Lower (1)	Media	n
Do you exp	ect your g	grade ir	n this cours	e to be:				1	100%							7.0	
The intellec	tual challe	nge pr	esented wa	as:				1	100%							7.0	
The amoun	t of effort y	you pu	t into this c	ourse was:				1	100%							7.0	
The amoun	it of effort t	to succ	eed in this	course was	:			1	100%							7.0	
Your involvements:	ement in c	ourse	(doing assi	gnments, att	ending cla	isses, etc.)		1	100%							7.0	
	tending cla	asses,	doing read	k have you s ings, reviewi ork?							Cla	ss mediar	n: 22.5	Hou	ırs per c	redit:	7.5 (N=1)
Under 2	2-3		4-5	6-7	8-9	10-11		12-1	3	14-15		16-17	18	-19	20-2	21	22 or more 100%
From the to valuable in	_		,	ow many do	you consi	der were					Cla	ss mediai	ո։ 22.5	Hou	ırs per c	redit:	7.5 (N=1)
Under 2	2-3		4-5	6-7	8-9	10-11		12-1	3	14-15		16-17	18	-19	20-2	21	22 or more 100%
What grade	do you ex	xpect in	n this cours	se?										С	lass me	edian: 4	I.0 (N=1)
A 100%	Α-	B+	В	B-	C+	С	C-		D+	D		D-	F	P	ass	Credit	No Credit
In regard to	your aca	demic	program, is	this course	best desc	ribed as:											(N=1)
In you	ır major	Di	stribution r	equirement	An	elective	re In your minor A program requirement 100%						Othe	er			



Numeric Responses

Ashland University College of Education EDIS Inclusive Services Term: Spring 2020

	N	Excellent (5)	Very Good (4)	Good (3)	Fair (2)	Poor (1)	Very Poor (0)	Median	Relative Rank
The helpfulness of the distance learning staff overall was:	1	100%						5.0	6
Timeliness of instructor response to assignments was:	1	100%						5.0	13
Quality/helpfulness of instructor feedback was:	1	100%						5.0	12
Tailoring of instruction to varying student skill levels was:	1	100%						5.0	1
Quality of questions or problems raised by the instructor was:	1	100%						5.0	14
Student confidence in instructor's knowledge was:	1	100%						5.0	15
Instructor's enthusiasm was:	1	100%						5.0	17
Encouragement given students to express themselves was:	1	100%						5.0	16
Usefulness of reading assignments in understanding content was:	1	100%						5.0	3
Usefulness of written assignments in understanding content was:	1	100%						5.0	2
Usefulness of on-line resources in understanding content was:	1	100%						5.0	4
Usefulness of video media in understanding course content was:	1	100%						5.0	7
Usefulness of audio media in understanding course content was:	1	100%						5.0	5
Relevance and usefulness of course content were:	1	100%						5.0	9
Evaluative and grading techniques (tests, papers, projects, etc.) were:	1	100%						5.0	10
Reasonableness of assigned work was:	1	100%						5.0	8
Clarity of student responsibilities and requirements was:	1	100%						5.0	11



Student Comments

Ashland University College of Education **EDIS Inclusive Services** Term: Spring 2020

EDIS 651 OL Evaluation Delivery: Online CURRICULUM DEVELOPMENT FOR EDUCATION OF THE TALENTED

Course type: Online

Taught by: Jennifer Groman

Instructor Evaluated: Jennifer Groman-Assist Prof

Evaluation Form: 14 Responses: 1/7 (14% low)

STANDARD OPEN-ENDED QUESTIONS

Was this class intellectually stimulating? Did it stretch your thinking? Why or why not?

1. Yes, I was able to look at different curriculum models and determine which model worked best for my students.

What aspects of this class contributed most to your learning?

1. The different differentiation strategies

What aspects of this class detracted from your learning?

1. none

What suggestions do you have for improving the class?

1. none

© 2011-2018 IASystem, University of Washington Survey no: 11693

Printed: 5/14/20 Page 3 of 19



Numeric Responses

Ashland University College of Education EDIS Inclusive Services Term: Spring 2020

Evaluation Delivery: Online Evaluation Form: I4

Responses: 4/6 (67% high)

EDIS 653 OL GUIDANCE AND COUNSELING FOR THE TALENTED

Course type: Online

Taught by: Jennifer Groman
Instructor Evaluated: Jennifer Groman-Assist Prof

Overall Summative Rating represents the combined responses of students to the four global summative items and is presented to provide an overall index of the class's quality:

Combined Median Adjusted Combined Median 5.0 4.9

(0=lowest; 5=highest)

Challenge and Engagement Index (CEI) combines student responses to several *IASystem* items relating to how academically challenging students found the course to be and how engaged they were:

CEI: 6.1

(1=lowest; 7=highest)

	N	Excellent (5)	Very Good (4)	Good (3)	Fair (2)	Poor (1)	Very Poor (0)	Median	Adjusted Median
The distance learning course as a whole was:	4	100%						5.0	4.9
The course content was:	4	100%						5.0	4.9
The instructor's contribution to the course was:	4	100%						5.0	4.9
The effectiveness of the distance learning format was:	4	100%						5.0	4.8

STUDENT I	ENGAGE	MEN	Г														
Relative to	other col	llege	courses y	ou have take	en:			N	Much Higher (7)	(6)	(5)	Average (4)	(3)	(2)	Much Lower (1)	Media	n
Do you expe	ect your g	rade	in this cour	se to be:				4	50%			50%				5.5	
The intellect	ual challer	nge p	resented w	as:				4	50%	25%	25%					6.5	
The amount	of effort y	ou pu	ut into this o	course was:				4	50%	50%						6.5	
The amount	of effort to	o suc	ceed in this	s course was	:			4	50%	50%						6.5	
Your involve was:	ment in co	ourse	(doing ass	signments, att	ending cla	isses, etc.)		4	50%	50%						6.5	
	ending cla	sses	, doing rea	ek have you s dings, reviewi work?							Cla	ass media	an: 5.5	Hou	ırs per c	redit:	1.8 (N=4)
Under 2	2-3 25%		4-5 25%	6-7 50%	8-9	10-11		12-1	3	14-15		16-17	18	-19	20-2	21	22 or more
From the tot valuable in a				now many do	you consi	der were					Cla	ass media	an: 4.5	Hou	ırs per c	redit: 1	.5 (N=4)
Under 2	2-3 50%		4-5	6-7 50%	8-9	10-11		12-1	3	14-15		16-17	18	-19	20-2	21	22 or more
What grade	do you ex	pect	in this coul	se?										C	lass me	edian: 4	I.0 (N=4)
A 100%	Α-	B+	В	B-	C+	С	C-		D+	D		D-	F	P	ass	Credit	No Credit
In regard to	your acad	demic	program,	s this course	best desc	ribed as:											(N=4)
In your	•	D	istribution	requirement	An	elective	e In your minor A program requirement 50%					Othe	er				



Numeric Responses

Ashland University College of Education EDIS Inclusive Services Term: Spring 2020

	N	Excellent		Good	Fair	Poor	Very Poor	Madian	Relative
The helpfulness of the distance learning staff overall was:	N 4	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)	Median 5.0	Rank 6
Timeliness of instructor response to assignments was:	4	100%						5.0	13
Quality/helpfulness of instructor feedback was:	4	100%						5.0	12
		100%						5.0	12
Tailoring of instruction to varying student skill levels was:	4								'
Quality of questions or problems raised by the instructor was:	4	100%						5.0	14
Student confidence in instructor's knowledge was:	4	100%						5.0	15
Instructor's enthusiasm was:	4	100%						5.0	17
Encouragement given students to express themselves was:	4	100%						5.0	16
Usefulness of reading assignments in understanding content was:	4	100%						5.0	3
Usefulness of written assignments in understanding content was:	4	100%						5.0	2
Usefulness of on-line resources in understanding content was:	4	100%						5.0	4
Usefulness of video media in understanding course content was:	4	100%						5.0	7
Usefulness of audio media in understanding course content was:	3	100%						5.0	5
Relevance and usefulness of course content were:	4	100%						5.0	9
Evaluative and grading techniques (tests, papers, projects, etc.) were:	4	100%						5.0	10
Reasonableness of assigned work was:	4	100%						5.0	8
Clarity of student responsibilities and requirements was:	4	100%						5.0	11



Student Comments

Ashland University College of Education EDIS Inclusive Services Term: Spring 2020

EDIS 653 OL

GUIDANCE AND COUNSELING FOR THE TALENTED

Evaluation Delivery: Online
Evaluation Form: 14

Course type: Online

Taught by: Jennifer Groman

Instructor Evaluated: Jennifer Groman-Assist Prof

Evaluation Form: I4

Responses: 4/6 (67% high)

STANDARD OPEN-ENDED QUESTIONS

Was this class intellectually stimulating? Did it stretch your thinking? Why or why not?

- 1. It was. We were required to plan a lesson and complete several self-evaluation pieces that were intellectually stimulating.
- 2. Yes, this class was very intellectually stimulating! I was able to learn from the readings, conversations, and projects and took time to reflect on each and determine how it all fits together and applies to my current teaching situation.
- 3. Yes. This was my first gifted and talented class. Many aspects I had never thought about in regards to guidance and counseling were part of the course. Several times, it made me think about my work as a teacher, and how to better meet the needs of students.

What aspects of this class contributed most to your learning?

- 1. Lesson planning and self-evaluations.
- 2. I learn a lot from Dr. Groman as well as the readings and the conversations we've had. The activities I completed were helpful when I was trying to make sense of all of the information I was learning about.
- 3. The variety of assignments and the texts used made the content easy to learn, remember, and apply.

What aspects of this class detracted from your learning?

- 1. None
- 2. The class had a lot of writing activities, reading, and a large project to complete. While it seemed daunting at first, it was very helpful that Dr. Groman broke it all down each week. I also appreciated that with the interruption of Covid-19, she adjusted the expectations to be more flexible with the new situation that we were in as educators. Thank you!
- 3. None.

What suggestions do you have for improving the class?

- 1. None
- 2. Fewer focus questions to write would be nice but overall, the class really is outstanding and made a huge impact on my growth as an educator of gifted students.
- 3. I can't imagine how this course could be improved. Dr. Groman's videos and assignment explanations could not have been easier to understand. There was no question as to what was expected, and for that, I'm very grateful. Dr. Groman extended nothing but grace and understanding when everything changed due to the virus, and again, I'm very grateful. There was a lot to juggle, and she made adjustments and reworked the syllabus because she knew how much we had on our plates. :)

© 2011–2018 IASystem, University of Washington Survey no: 11694

Printed: 5/14/20

Page 6 of 19



Numeric Responses

Ashland University College of Education **EDIS Inclusive Services** Term: Spring 2020

EDIS 781 OL Evaluation Delivery: Online THESIS CAPSTONE IN TALENT DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION Evaluation Form: 14

Course type: Online

Responses: 4/6 (67% high)

Taught by: Jennifer Groman

Instructor Evaluated: Jennifer Groman-Assist Prof

Overall Summative Rating represents the combined responses of students to the four global summative items and is presented to provide an overall index of the class's quality:

Combined Adjusted Median Combined Median 4.6 4.4

Challenge and Engagement Index (CEI) combines student responses to several IASystem items relating to how academically challenging students found the course to be and how engaged they were:

CEI: 6.5

(0=lowest; 5=highest)

(1=lowest; 7=highest)

SUMMATIVE ITEMS

	N	Excellent (5)	Very Good (4)	Good (3)	Fair (2)	Poor (1)	Very Poor (0)	Median	Adjusted Median
The distance learning course as a whole was:	4	50%	50%					4.5	4.3
The course content was:	4	50%	50%					4.5	4.3
The instructor's contribution to the course was:	4	50%	25%	25%				4.5	4.3
The effectiveness of the distance learning format was:	4	75%	25%					4.8	4.6

STUDENT ENGAGEMENT

STUDENT	ENGAGE								Much						Much					
Relative to	other co	llege cour	ses yo	ou have take	n:			N	Higher (7)	(6)	(5)	Average (4)	(3)	(2)	Lower (1)	Median				
Do you exp	pect your (grade in this	cour	se to be:				4		75%		25%				5.8				
The intellec	ctual challe	enge preser	nted w	as:				4	75%	25%						6.8				
The amoun	nt of effort	you put into	this c	ourse was:				4	75%	25%						6.8				
The amoun	nt of effort	to succeed	in this	course was	ŀ			4	50%	25%	25%					6.5				
Your involv was:	ement in c	course (doi	ng ass	ignments, att	ending cla	sses, etc.)		4	75%		25%					6.8				
	ttending cla	asses, doir	g read	ek have you s dings, reviewi vork?							Cla	ass media	an: 7.5	Ηοι	ırs per c	redit: 2.	5 (N=4)			
Under 2	2-3	4-5 25%		6-7 25%	8-9 50%	10-11		12-1	13	14-15		16-17	18	3-19	20-2	21 22	or more			
From the to valuable in	_	,	,	now many do	you consid	der were					Cla	ass media	an: 5.5	Ηοι	ırs per c	redit: 1.8	3 (N=4)			
Under 2	2-3	4-5 50%		6-7 25%	8-9 25%	10-11		12-1	13	14-15		16-17	18	3-19	20-2	21 22	or more			
What grade	e do you e	xpect in this	s cour	se?										C	Class me	dian: 2.0	(N=4)			
A	A-	B+	В	B-	C+	С	C-		D+	D		D-	F	-	Pass 00%	Credit	No Credit			
In regard to	o your aca	demic prog	ram, i	s this course	best desci	ribed as:														
•	ır major 5%	Distrib	ution	requirement	An	elective		ı	n your i	minor	A program requirement Oth 75%			Other						



Numeric Responses

Ashland University College of Education EDIS Inclusive Services Term: Spring 2020

		Excellent		Good	Fair	Poor	Very Poor		Relative
	N	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)	Median	Rank
The helpfulness of the distance learning staff overall was:	4	100%						5.0	1
Timeliness of instructor response to assignments was:	4	50%	25%	25%				4.5	16
Quality/helpfulness of instructor feedback was:	4	75%	25%					4.8	7
Tailoring of instruction to varying student skill levels was:	4	75%	25%					4.8	2
Quality of questions or problems raised by the instructor was:	4	50%	50%					4.5	17
Student confidence in instructor's knowledge was:	4	100%						5.0	5
Instructor's enthusiasm was:	4	100%						5.0	9
Encouragement given students to express themselves was:	4	75%	25%					4.8	11
Usefulness of reading assignments in understanding content was:	4	50%	50%					4.5	12
Usefulness of written assignments in understanding content was:	4	75%	25%					4.8	3
Usefulness of on-line resources in understanding content was:	4	50%	50%					4.5	10
Usefulness of video media in understanding course content was:	4	50%	50%					4.5	13
Usefulness of audio media in understanding course content was:	3	67%	33%					4.8	4
Relevance and usefulness of course content were:	4	75%	25%					4.8	6
Evaluative and grading techniques (tests, papers, projects, etc.) were:	4	50%	50%					4.5	14
Reasonableness of assigned work was:	4	50%	50%					4.5	15
Clarity of student responsibilities and requirements was:	4	75%	25%					4.8	8



Student Comments

Ashland University College of Education **EDIS Inclusive Services** Term: Spring 2020

FDIS 781 OI Evaluation Delivery: Online

THESIS CAPSTONE IN TALENT DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION Evaluation Form: 14 Responses: 4/6 (67% high)

Course type: Online

Taught by: Jennifer Groman

Instructor Evaluated: Jennifer Groman-Assist Prof

STANDARD OPEN-ENDED QUESTIONS

Was this class intellectually stimulating? Did it stretch your thinking? Why or why not?

- 1. This course was intellectually stimulating and also stretched my thinking because not only did I have to develop a thesis theme to explore, but I also had to research and apply supportive literature while developing a succinct written thesis to support my original idea of interest.
- 2. Yes. It was great to choose our own topic and have the freedom to explore it as we saw fit.

What aspects of this class contributed most to your learning?

- 1. Exploring literature-based research aligned to my thesis theme contributed most to my learning because this activity allowed me to explore similar and opposing findings of well-respected writers and researchers (related to my theme) as well as connect these findings to contribute to my thesis ideas overall.
- 2. Student models were helpful. It was also helpful to see a rough timeline for the project, including the proposal.

What aspects of this class detracted from your learning?

- 1. No aspects of this class detracted from my learning.
- 2. none

What suggestions do you have for improving the class?

- 1. This course was designed to be exceptionally supportive and allowed for flexibility in selecting and exploring a thesis theme as well as designing research and writing schedules to fit individual needs and abilities. This course aligns well to the distance learning format, and I do not see any need to change the course at this time.
- 2. Maybe just more clarification about how the final submission process works. I knew that we would have additional chances to revise until we were satisfied with the result, but I was not sure how that process worked with the April 25th deadline to have the grade assigned on this term. I was not sure if we would have to revise until we were both satisfied or until the paper was "passing."

© 2011-2018 IASystem, University of Washington Survey no: 11695

Printed: 5/14/20 Page 9 of 19



Numeric Responses

Ashland University College of Education EDIS Inclusive Services

Term: Spring 2020

Evaluation Delivery: Online
Evaluation Form: I4
Responses: 0/2 (0%)

EDIS 710 OL FIELD PRACTICUM FOR TALENT DEVELOPMENT IN EDUCATION

Course type: Online

Taught by: Jennifer Groman

Instructor Evaluated: Jennifer Groman-Assist Prof

SUMMATIVE ITEMS

	N	Excellent (5)	Very Good (4)	Good (3)	Fair (2)	Poor (1)	Very Poor (0)	Median
The distance learning course as a whole was:								
The course content was:								
The instructor's contribution to the course was:								
The effectiveness of the distance learning format was:								

n regard to y	our acad	demic progran	n, is this course	e best desc	ribed as:											
Α	A-	B+ E	В-	C+	С	C-	D+		D	ı	D-	F	Pass	Cred	it 1	No Credi
What grade d	lo you ex	pect in this co	ourse?													
Under 2	2-3	4-5	6-7	8-9	10-11		12-13		14-15	1	6-17	18-19)	20-21	22	or mor
	0	e hours above your education	e, how many do n?	you consi	der were											
Under 2	2-3	4-5	6-7	8-9	10-11		12-13		14-15	1	6-17	18-19)	20-21	22	or mor
ncluding atte	nding cla		veek have you eadings, review d work?													
our involver	nent in co	ourse (doing a	ussignments, at	ttending cla	sses, etc.)	was:										
he amount o	of effort to	succeed in t	his course was	3:												
he amount o	of effort y	ou put into thi	s course was:													
he intellectu	al challer	nge presented	was:													
o you expe	ct your g	rade in this co	urse to be:													
Relative to o	ther col	lege courses	you have tak	en:				N	Much Higher (7)	(6)	(5)	Average (4)	(3)	Lo	uch wer (1)	Media



Numeric Responses

Ashland University College of Education EDIS Inclusive Services Term: Spring 2020

STANDARD FORMATIVE ITEMS

	N	Excellent (5)	Very Good (4)	Good (3)	Fair (2)	Poor (1)	Very Poor (0)	Median	Relative Rank
The helpfulness of the distance learning staff overall was:									
Timeliness of instructor response to assignments was:									
Quality/helpfulness of instructor feedback was:									
Tailoring of instruction to varying student skill levels was:									
Quality of questions or problems raised by the instructor was:									
Student confidence in instructor's knowledge was:									
Instructor's enthusiasm was:									
Encouragement given students to express themselves was:									
Usefulness of reading assignments in understanding content was:									
Usefulness of written assignments in understanding content was:									
Usefulness of on-line resources in understanding content was:									
Usefulness of video media in understanding course content was:									
Usefulness of audio media in understanding course content was:									
Relevance and usefulness of course content were:									
Evaluative and grading techniques (tests, papers, projects, etc.) were:									
Reasonableness of assigned work was:									
Clarity of student responsibilities and requirements was:									

 $\ensuremath{\texttt{©}}$ 2011–2018 IASystem, University of Washington Survey no: 12503

Printed: 5/14/20 Page 11 of 19



Student Comments

Ashland University College of Education EDIS Inclusive Services Term: Spring 2020

EDIS 710 OL Evaluation Delivery: Online FIELD PRACTICUM FOR TALENT DEVELOPMENT IN EDUCATION Evaluation Form: 14

Responses: 0/2 (0%)

Taught by: Jennifer Groman

Course type: Online

Instructor Evaluated: Jennifer Groman-Assist Prof

STANDARD OPEN-ENDED QUESTIONS

Was this class intellectually stimulating? Did it stretch your thinking? Why or why not?

What aspects of this class contributed most to your learning?

What aspects of this class detracted from your learning?

What suggestions do you have for improving the class?

Printed: 5/14/20 Page 12 of 19



Numeric Responses

Ashland University College of Education EDIS Inclusive Services Term: Spring 2020

EDIS 796 OL Evaluation Delivery: Online INTERNSHIP IN TALENT DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION Evaluation Form: 14

Course type: Online

Taught by: Jennifer Groman

Instructor Evaluated: Jennifer Groman-Assist Prof

Overall Summative Rating represents the combined responses of students to the four global summative items and is presented to provide an overall index of the class's quality:

Combined Adjusted Combined Median Median Median 5.0 4.7

Responses: 1/2 (50% high)

Challenge and Engagement Index (CEI) combines student responses to several *IASystem* items relating to how academically challenging students found the course to be and how engaged they were:

CEI: 5.8

(0=lowest; 5=highest)

(1=lowest; 7=highest)

	N	Excellent (5)	Very Good (4)	Good (3)	Fair (2)	Poor (1)	Very Poor (0)	Median	Adjusted Median
The distance learning course as a whole was:	1	100%						5.0	4.7
The course content was:	1	100%						5.0	4.7
The instructor's contribution to the course was:	1	100%						5.0	4.7
The effectiveness of the distance learning format was:	1	100%						5.0	4.6

STUDENT	ENGAGE	MENT																	
Relative to	other col	llege c	ourses yo	ou have take	n:			N	Much Higher (7)	(6)	(5)	Average (4)	(3)	(2)	Much Lower (1)	Median			
Do you exp	ect your g	rade in	this cours	se to be:				1		100%	,	. ,	,	,	()	6.0			
The intellec	tual challer	nge pre	esented wa	as:				1		100%						6.0			
The amoun	it of effort y	ou put	into this c	ourse was:				1		100%						6.0			
The amoun	it of effort to	o succ	eed in this	course was:				1		100%						6.0			
Your involv was:	ement in co	ourse (doing ass	ignments, att	ending cla	isses, etc.)		1		100%						6.0			
including at	On average, how many hours per week have you spent on this course, including attending classes, doing readings, reviewing notes, writing papers and any other course related work? Class median: 2.5 Hours per cred										redit: 0.	8 (N=1)							
Under 2	2-3 100%		4-5	6-7	8-9	10-11		12-1	3	14-15		16-17	18	-19	20-2	21 2	2 or more		
From the to valuable in				ow many do	you consi	der were					Cla	ass media	an: 2.5	Hou	rs per c	redit: 0.	8 (N=1)		
Under 2	2-3 100%		4-5	6-7	8-9	10-11		12-1	3	14-15		16-17	18	-19	20-2	21 2	2 or more		
What grade	e do you ex	pect in	this cours	se?										С	lass me	edian: 2.	0 (N=1)		
Α	A-	B+	В	B-	C+	С	C-		D+	D		D-	F		ass 00%	Credit	No Credit		
In regard to	your acad	demic p	orogram, is	s this course	best desc	ribed as:											(N=1)		
In you	ır major	Di	stribution i	requirement	An	elective						A program requirement 100%					Other		



Numeric Responses

Ashland University College of Education EDIS Inclusive Services Term: Spring 2020

	N	Excellent (5)	Very Good (4)	Good (3)	Fair (2)	Poor (1)	Very Poor (0)	Median	Relative Rank
The helpfulness of the distance learning staff overall was:	1		100%					4.0	17
Timeliness of instructor response to assignments was:	1	100%						5.0	12
Quality/helpfulness of instructor feedback was:	1	100%						5.0	11
Tailoring of instruction to varying student skill levels was:	1	100%						5.0	1
Quality of questions or problems raised by the instructor was:	1	100%						5.0	13
Student confidence in instructor's knowledge was:	1	100%						5.0	14
Instructor's enthusiasm was:	1	100%						5.0	16
Encouragement given students to express themselves was:	1	100%						5.0	15
Usefulness of reading assignments in understanding content was:	1	100%						5.0	3
Usefulness of written assignments in understanding content was:	1	100%						5.0	2
Usefulness of on-line resources in understanding content was:	1	100%						5.0	4
Usefulness of video media in understanding course content was:	1	100%						5.0	6
Usefulness of audio media in understanding course content was:	1	100%						5.0	5
Relevance and usefulness of course content were:	1	100%						5.0	8
Evaluative and grading techniques (tests, papers, projects, etc.) were:	1	100%						5.0	9
Reasonableness of assigned work was:	1	100%						5.0	7
Clarity of student responsibilities and requirements was:	1	100%						5.0	10



Student Comments

Ashland University College of Education EDIS Inclusive Services Term: Spring 2020

Responses: 1/2 (50% high)

EDIS 796 OL Evaluation Delivery: Online INTERNSHIP IN TALENT DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION Evaluation Form: 14

Course type: Online

Taught by: Jennifer Groman

Instructor Evaluated: Jennifer Groman-Assist Prof

STANDARD OPEN-ENDED QUESTIONS

Was this class intellectually stimulating? Did it stretch your thinking? Why or why not?

1. Yes Dr. Groman challenged as educators to put our previous coursework to work in the field. This course was to put theory into to practice.

What aspects of this class contributed most to your learning?

1. Dr. Groman's experience that she shared during this class.

What aspects of this class detracted from your learning?

1. COVID-19 Pandemic, Governor Mike DeWine and his closure of school buildings

What suggestions do you have for improving the class?

1. None. Dr. Groman went above and beyond to modify the course to fit the realities of education during this time. Please give Dr. Groman a bonus for all her hard work. She modified the syllabus 3 times in response to the changing situation and did not put an undue burden on us as students who are trying to teach in this challenging time.

© 2011–2018 IASystem, University of Washington Survey no: 12504

Printed: 5/14/20 Page 15 of 19



Numeric Responses

Ashland University College of Education Education Term: Spring 2020

Evaluation Delivery: Online Evaluation Form: 14

Responses: 8/19 (42% moderate)

EDUC 710 OL

FIELD PRACTICUM IN EDUCATION

Course type: Online

Taught by: Jennifer Groman

Instructor Evaluated: Jennifer Groman-Assist Prof

Overall Summative Rating represents the combined responses of students to the four global summative items and is presented to provide an overall index of the class's quality:

Combined Adjusted Median Combined Median 4.8 4.5 (0=lowest; 5=highest)

Challenge and Engagement Index (CEI) combines student responses to several IASystem items relating to how academically challenging students found the course to be and how engaged they were:

CEI: 5.8

(1=lowest; 7=highest)

	N	Excellent (5)	Very Good (4)	Good (3)	Fair (2)	Poor (1)	Very Poor (0)	Median	Adjusted Median
The distance learning course as a whole was:	8	75%	12%			12%		4.8	4.5
The course content was:	8	75%	12%			12%		4.8	4.6
The instructor's contribution to the course was:	8	75%	12%			12%		4.8	4.5
The effectiveness of the distance learning format was:	8	75%	12%			12%		4.8	4.5

STUDENT	ENGAGE	MENT															
Relative to	other col	lege cou	rses yo	u have take	n:			N	Much Higher (7)	(6)	(5)	Average (4)	(3)	(2)	Much Lower (1)	Median	
Do you exp	ect your g	rade in th	is cours	e to be:				6	33%	50%			17%			6.2	
The intellec	tual challer	nge prese	ented wa	ıs:				6	33%	50%					17%	6.2	
The amoun	t of effort y	ou put int	o this co	ourse was:				8	12%	50%	25%	12%				5.8	
The amoun	t of effort to	o succee	d in this	course was:				8	25%	62%	12%					6.1	
Your involve was:	ement in c	ourse (do	ing assi	gnments, att	ending cla	asses, etc.)		8	12%	75%			12%			6.0	
including at	On average, how many hours per week have you spent on this course, including attending classes, doing readings, reviewing notes, writing papers and any other course related work?										Cla	ıss medi	an: 4.8	Hou	ırs per c	redit: 2	4 (N=6)
Under 2	2-3 17%	4· 50		6-7	8-9	10-11 17%		12-1	13	14-15 17%		16-17	18	-19	20-2	21 2	2 or more
From the to valuable in				ow many do	you consi	der were					Cla	ıss medi	an: 4.2	Ηοι	ırs per c	redit: 2.	1 (N=6)
Under 2 17%	2-3 17%	4· 50		6-7	8-9	1 0- 11 17%		12-1	13	14-15		16-17	18	-19	20-2	21 2	2 or more
What grade	do you ex	pect in th	is cours	e?										(Class me	dian: 4	0 (N=7)
A 71%	Α-	B+	В	B-	C+	c 14%	C-		D+	D		D-	F		Pass 14%	Credit	No Credit
In regard to	your acad	demic pro	gram, is	this course	best desc	ribed as:											(N=7)
•	In your major Distribution requirement An elective 71%						ı	In your i	ninor	Å	A progran	n require 29%	ement		Other		



Numeric Responses

Ashland University College of Education Education Term: Spring 2020

	N	Excellent (5)	Very Good (4)	Good (3)	Fair (2)	Poor (1)	Very Poor (0)	Median	Relative Rank
The helpfulness of the distance learning staff overall was:	8	75%	12%	(3)	(2)	12%	(0)	4.8	9
Timeliness of instructor response to assignments was:	8	75%	12%			12%		4.8	13
Quality/helpfulness of instructor feedback was:	8	75%	12%			12%		4.8	12
Tailoring of instruction to varying student skill levels was:	8	75%	12%			12%		4.8	2
Quality of questions or problems raised by the instructor was:	8	75%	12%			12%		4.8	14
Student confidence in instructor's knowledge was:	8	75%	12%			12%		4.8	16
Instructor's enthusiasm was:	8	88%			12%			4.9	17
Encouragement given students to express themselves was:	8	88%				12%		4.9	15
Usefulness of reading assignments in understanding content was:	7	71%		14%		14%		4.8	6
Usefulness of written assignments in understanding content was:	8	75%	12%			12%		4.8	4
Usefulness of on-line resources in understanding content was:	8	75%		12%		12%		4.8	5
Usefulness of video media in understanding course content was:	8	88%				12%		4.9	3
Usefulness of audio media in understanding course content was:	7	86%				14%		4.9	1
Relevance and usefulness of course content were:	8	88%				12%		4.9	8
Evaluative and grading techniques (tests, papers, projects, etc.) were:	8	88%				12%		4.9	10
Reasonableness of assigned work was:	8	88%				12%		4.9	7
Clarity of student responsibilities and requirements was:	8	88%				12%		4.9	11



Student Comments

Ashland University College of Education Education Term: Spring 2020

EDUC 710 OL Evaluation Delivery: Online FIELD PRACTICUM IN EDUCATION Evaluation Form:

Course type: Online

Taught by: Jennifer Groman

Instructor Evaluated: Jennifer Groman-Assist Prof

Responses: 8/19 (42% moderate)

STANDARD OPEN-ENDED QUESTIONS

Was this class intellectually stimulating? Did it stretch your thinking? Why or why not?

- 1. Yes. The class was very relevant. I am so happy to have taken it.
- 2. Yes, it forced me to rethink how I teach French. It has given me some valuable resources to use moving forward.
- 3. no
- 4. The class was intellectually stimulating it caused me to examine my practices to see what could be improved and research strategies that may improve my practice and/or abilities of my students. Once the shutdown happened the course caused me to evaluate how myself and my school district were handled the situation. It was also helpful to see how other districts were handling it and to know that I wasn't alone in some of my struggles.
- 5. Loved it! I found it very helpful to my teaching career.
- 6. Yes, the content pushed me to investigate new teaching strategies that I was not using on a regular basis. It also forced me to evaluate data that at times I pass over due to the busyness of my days.

What aspects of this class contributed most to your learning?

- 1. The feedback from Dr. Groman was great. I grew as a teacher and person due to her dedication.
- 2. The overall project that I had to complete forced me outside my comfort zone and taught me so much.
- 3. none
- 5. I got to choose the focus area, which made the learning more enjoyable.
- 6. The end project contributed to my learning. Also, the research on different strategies and how to use them.

What aspects of this class detracted from your learning?

- 1. None
- 2. Absolutely nothing.
- 3. everything

What suggestions do you have for improving the class?

- 1. I don't have suggestions. I think you adapted our lessons. I think it is very applicable. I think the class connects us to the real world and our real world teaching. Anything we can take and implement into our classes is useful. Most classes aren't like this one. I really enjoyed it.
- 2. Nothing. This was an excellent course.
- 3. cancel
- 4. Dr. Groman did an excellent job of quickly adapting the class to schools closing. She made what could have been a stressful situation (trying to continue project without access to students) useful and as stress-free as possible. I really appreciate how she adapted the class and allowed the students to use their current situation as the material for the final project.

© 2011-2018 IASystem, University of Washington Survey no: 12505

Printed: 5/14/20 Page 18 of 19



IASystem Course Summary Reports summarize student ratings of a particular course or combination of courses. They provide a rich perspective on student views by reporting responses in three ways: as frequency distributions, average ratings, and either comparative or adjusted ratings. Remember in interpreting results that it is important to keep in mind the number of students who evaluated the course relative to the total course enrollment as shown on the upper right-hand corner of the report.

Frequency distributions. The percentage of students who selected each response choice is displayed for each item. Percentages are based on the number of students who answered the respective item rather than the number of students who evaluated the course because individual item response is optional.

Median ratings. *IASystem* reports average ratings in the form of item medians. Although means are a more familiar type of average than medians, they are less accurate in summarizing student ratings. This is because ratings distributions tend to be strongly skewed. That is, most of the ratings are at the high end of the scale and trail off to the low end.

The median indicates the point on the rating scale at which half of the students selected higher ratings, and half selected lower. Medians are computed to one decimal place by interpolation. In general, higher medians reflect more favorable ratings. To interpret median ratings, compare the value of each median to the respective response scale: Very Poor, Poor, Fair, Good, Very Good, Excellent (0-5); Never/None/Much Lower, About Half/Average, Always/Great/Much Higher (1-7); Slight, Moderate, Considerable, Extensive (1-4).

Comparative ratings. *IASystem* provides a normative comparison for each item by reporting the decile rank of the item median. Decile ranks compare the median rating of a particular item to ratings of the same item over the previous two academic years in all classes at the institution and within the college, school, or division. Decile ranks are shown only for items with sufficient normative data.

Decile ranks range from 0 (lowest) to 9 (highest). For all items, higher medians yield higher decile ranks. The 0 decile rank indicates an item median in the lowest 10% of all scores. A decile rank of 1 indicates a median above the bottom 10% and below the top 80%. A decile rank of 9 indicates a median in the top 10% of all scores. Because average ratings tend to be high, a rating of "good" or "average" may have a low decile rank.

Adjusted ratings. Research has shown that student ratings may be somewhat influenced by factors such as class size, expected grade, and reason for enrollment. To correct for this, *IASystem* reports **adjusted medians** for summative items (items #1-4 and their combined global rating) based on regression analyses of ratings over the previous two academic years in all classes at the respective institution. If large classes at the institution tend to be rated lower than small classes, for example, the adjusted medians for large classes will be slightly higher than their unadjusted medians.

When adjusted ratings are displayed for summative items, **relative rank** is displayed for the more specific (formative) items. Rankings serve as a guide in directing instructional improvement efforts. The top ranked items (1, 2, 3, etc.) represent areas that are going well from a student perspective; whereas the bottom ranked items (18, 17, 16, etc.) represent areas in which the instructor may want to make changes. Relative ranks are computed by first standardizing each item (subtracting the overall institutional average from the item rating for the particular course, then dividing by the standard deviation of the ratings across all courses) and then ranking those standardized scores.

Challenge and Engagement Index (CEI). Several *IASystem* items ask students how academically challenging they found the course to be. *IASystem* calculates the average of these items and reports them as a single index. *The Challenge and Engagement Index (CEI)* correlates only modestly with the global rating (median of items 1-4).

Optional Items. Student responses to instructor-supplied items are summarized at the end of the evaluation report. Median responses should be interpreted in light of the specific item text and response scale used (response values 1-6 on paper evaluation forms).

¹ For the specific method, see, for example, Guilford, J.P. (1965). Fundamental statistics in psychology and education. New York: McGraw-Hill Book Company, pp. 49-53.